ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

& The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

Case No -OA 174 OF 2017

Dr. Nirmalendu Purkayastha <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
6		
	For the Applicant : Mr. A.K. Das Sinha, Learned Advocate.	
	For the State Respondent : Mr. S.N. Ray, Learned Advocate.	
	The applicant worked as Medical Officer at Gandhi Memorial	
	Hospital, Kalyani in the year 1999. He was transferred from Kalyani	
	to Jalpaiguri by order dated April 7, 1999 and the said transfer	
	order was challenged by the applicant before the Tribunal by filing	
	OA No. 4003 of 1999. The order of transfer was set aside by the	
	Tribunal on September 1, 2000 while disposing of OA No. 4003 of	
	1999. However, the transfer order was modified by the Hon'ble	
	High Court on February 12, 2001, when the State of West Bengal	
	challenged the order of Tribunal before the Hon'ble High Court by	
	filing WPST 752 of 2000. The applicant submitted representation	
	before the authority concerned in connection with his transfer in	
	compliance with the direction given by the Hon'ble High Court and	
	the said representation was rejected on April 24, 2001. Ultimately,	
	the applicant joined in his new assignment at Jalpaiguri on May 18,	
	2001. The contention of the applicant is that he was not allowed	
	to join in his post as Medical Officer at Kalyani in spite of	
	cancellation of order of transfer by the Tribunal during the period	

Dr. Nirmalendu Purkayastha

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	from September 1, 2000 to April 24, 2001. The further contention	
	of the applicant is that the authority concerned treated his absence	
	from duty from September 7, 1999 to May 27, 2001 as	
	unauthorised though the applicant was not allowed to report for	
	duty after cancellation of transfer order during the aforesaid	
	period. Ultimately, leave was sanctioned in favour of the applicant	
	by order dated August 3, 2016 issued by the Joint Secretary to the	
	Government of West Bengal, Department of Health and Family	
	Welfare (MA Branch), whereby period of absence of the applicant	
	for 235 days i.e. from February 19, 2000 to September 7, 2000 and	
	from April 25, 2001 to May 27, 2001 was treated as "dies non for	
	all purpose". The applicant has prayed for setting the said	
	impugned order dated August 3, 2016 and for direction upon the	
	respondents to grant leave in accordance with the provisions of the	
	rules.	
	Mr. A.K. Das Sinha, Learned Counsel for the applicant contends	
	that 205 days' Earned Leave (EL) and 160 days' of Half Pay Leave	
	(HPL) were to the credit of the applicant at the time of passing the	
	impugned order, but without exhausting the said leave the	
	concerned respondent has granted Extra Ordinary Leave (EOL) in	
	violation of the provisions of Rule 175 of WBSR Pt. I. On the other	
	hand, Mr. S.N. Ray, Learned Counsel representing the State	
	respondents has failed to satisfy the Bench about the period of EL	
	and HPL to the credit of the applicant at the time of passing the	
	impugned order.	

Case No. OA-174 of 2017

Form No.

Dr. Nirmalendu Purkayastha

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA-174 of 2017		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	Having heard Learned Counsel representing both parties and on	
	consideration of the impugned order, we find that the applicant	
	was granted 165 days' of EL, whereas the contention of the	
	applicant is that 205 days' EL were to the credit of the applicant at	
	the time of passing of the impugned order. Moreover, the	
	contention of the applicant is that 160 days' of HPL were to the	
	credit of the applicant at the time of passing the impugned order,	
	though the impugned order has not disclosed how many days' EL	
	and HPL were to the credit of the applicant. On consideration of	
	provisions of Rule 34 read with Rule 175 of WBST Pt. I, we find that	
	EOL can be granted in favour of any permanent Government	
	employee for the maximum period of 5 years in order to prevent	
	break of service and to regularise absence from duty. It is clearly	
	laid down in Rule 175 of WBSR Pt. I that EOL can be granted to any	
	permanent Government employee when no other leave is to the	
	credit of the said employee. On perusal of the impugned order, we	
	do not find that the Joint Secretary to the Government of West	
	Bengal, Department of Health and Family Welfare has disclosed the	
	number of days' of EL and HPL to the credit of the applicant till the	
	date of passing of the impugned order, though the authority has	
	invoked provisions of Rule 175 of WBSR Pt. I by granting EOL for	
	limited period of 180 days and treated the absence of the applicant	
	for 235 days as "dies non for all purpose". Since the respondent	
	no. 2 has granted EOL for limited period without exhausting EL and	
	HPL to the credit of the applicant till the date of passing of the	
	impugned order and since the absence of the applicant from duty	
	for 235 days has been treated as "dies non for all purpose" without	

Form No.

Case No. OA-174 of 2017

Dr. Nirmalendu Purkayastha

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Trib	unal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.		2	and dated signature of parties when necessar
1			3
	regularising the said absence by	grant of maximum period of EOL,	
	we are constrained to hold that	t the impugned order is arbitrary,	
	illegal and not justified under the	e law.	
	In view of our above finding	s, the said impugned order dated	
		espondent no. 2 is set aside. The	
		retary to the Government of West	
	Bengal, Department of Health and Family Welfare is directed to		
	pass a reasoned order for r	egularisation of absence of the	
	applicant from duty by gran	t of various kinds of leave in	
	accordance with the provisions	of WBSR Pt. I and in light of the	
	observations made by us hereir	nabove within a period of 8 weeks	
	from the date of communication	n of the order and to communicate	
	the same to the applicant within	a period of two weeks thereafter.	
	With the above direction, the	original application is disposed of.	
	Let a plain copy of the order be supplied to both the parties.		
	(Dr. S.K. Das)	(R.K. Bag)	
	Member (A)	Member (J)	
Rajib			

Dr. Nirmalendu Purkayastha

••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA-174 of 2017		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

Form No.